

1 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
2 MARK A. PERRY (*pro hac vice*)  
3 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
4 Washington, DC 11101  
5 Telephone: 202.955.8500  
6 mperry@gibsondunn.com

7 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
8 JEFFREY T. THOMAS (*pro hac vice*)  
9 BLAINE H. EVANSON (*pro hac vice*)  
10 JOSEPH A. GORMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
11 CASEY J. MCCRACKEN (*pro hac vice*)  
12 3161 Michelson Drive  
13 Irvine, CA 92612-4412  
14 Telephone: 949.451.3800  
15 jtthomas@gibsondunn.com  
16 bevanson@gibsondunn.com  
17 jgorman@gibsondunn.com  
18 cmccracken@gibsondunn.com

19 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
20 SAMUEL LIVERSIDGE (*pro hac vice*)  
21 ERIC D. VANDEVELDE (*pro hac vice*)  
22 333 South Grand Avenue  
23 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
24 Telephone: 213.229.7000  
25 sliversidge@gibsondunn.com  
26 evandeveld@gibsondunn.com

27 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
28 *Rimini Street, Inc.*

RIMINI STREET, INC.  
DANIEL B. WINSLOW (*pro hac vice*)  
6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300  
Pleasanton, CA 94566  
Telephone: (925) 264-7736  
dwinslow@riministreet.com

RIMINI STREET, INC.  
JOHN P. REILLY (*pro hac vice*)  
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500  
Las Vegas, NV 89169  
Telephone: (336) 908-6961  
jreilly@riministreet.com

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC  
W. WEST ALLEN (Nevada Bar No. 5566)  
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000  
Las Vegas, NV 89169  
Telephone: (702) 667-4843  
wwa@h2law.com

19 ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,  
20 Plaintiffs,  
21 v.  
22 RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,  
23 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF  
**RIMINI STREET, INC.'S MOTION  
TO SEAL PORTIONS OF MOTION  
FOR A JURY TRIAL AND  
SUPPORTING EXHIBITS**

## **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 10, 2010 (ECF No. 55, “Protective Order”), Rules 5.2(e)–(f) and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rule 10-5(b), Defendant Rimini Street, Inc. (“Rimini”) respectfully requests that the Court seal: (1) portions of Rimini Street, Inc.’s Motion for a Jury Trial (“Motion”), (2) portions of Exhibit A to the Declaration of Owen Astrachan (“Astrachan Declaration”); and (3) portions of Exhibit A to the Declaration of Stephen Lanchak (“Lanchak Declaration”) (collectively, the “Confidential Materials”).

Public, redacted versions of the Confidential Materials were filed on July 31, 2020. Unredacted versions of these documents will be subsequently filed under seal with the Court and linked to the filing of this motion. This Motion to Seal is based on this Notice of Motion and the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Rimini respectfully requests that the Court seal the Confidential Materials because they contain confidential information regarding Rimini's business processes or information that Oracle has designated as "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Protective Order. Good cause exists to seal the Confidential Materials, as set forth below.

This Court has regularly granted motions to file under seal similar information, both in *Rimini I* and *Rimini II*. See, e.g., ECF Nos. 226, 325, 518, 904, 990, 1107, 1228, 1250, 1261; see also *Rimini II*, No. 14-CV-1699-LRH-DJA (D. Nev.), ECF Nos. 127, 137, 222, 280–282, 287, 333–334, 371, 391, 434–436, 602, 625–628, 760–768, 836–849, 1122, 1191.

## 1. ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, *inter alia*, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Rimini has designated as confidential certain documents and information filed in support of the Opposition because they contain confidential information about Rimini’s proprietary processes.

1 Rimini also seeks to seal documents Oracle has designated as “Confidential Information” or  
 2 “Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Protective Order.

3 **A. Highly Confidential Information About Rimini’s Proprietary Processes**

4 Rimini moves to seal proprietary information regarding the ways in which Rimini  
 5 provides services to its clients and runs its business operations. This information is reflected in  
 6 portions of the Confidential Materials.

7 Portions of the Confidential Materials contain a description of Rimini’s proprietary  
 8 technical processes, including detailed information about Rimini’s proprietary technical tools  
 9 and processes, a detailed description of how those tools and processes were developed, and  
 10 descriptions of how Rimini provides services to its clients, as well as certain confidential details  
 11 of Rimini’s technical processes that Rimini designated “Highly Confidential” elsewhere.

12 Under the Protective Order, “all non-public information” regarding “business plans” or  
 13 “proprietary technical information and specifications” are properly designated confidential, and  
 14 “extremely sensitive . . . non-public information” including trade secrets are properly  
 15 designated as highly confidential. Disclosure of this information, whether in Exhibit A to the  
 16 Astrachan Declaration or Exhibit A to the Lanchak Declaration, would advantage Rimini’s  
 17 competitors, disclosing trade secrets that would allow Rimini’s competitors to adopt methods  
 18 that have made Rimini successful, and more easily allow them to compete in the third-party  
 19 software service marketplace. *See Hologram USA, Inc. v. Pulse Evolution Corp.*, No. 14-CV-  
 20 772, 2015 WL 105793, at \*2 (D. Nev. Jan. 7, 2015) (granting motion to seal where documents  
 21 “contain[ed] information that could injure Plaintiffs’ competitive posture in the ... industry”);  
 22 *Spectrum Pharm. Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.*, No. 12-CV-111, 2014 WL 4202540, at \*2 (D. Nev. Aug.  
 23 21, 2014) (granting motion to seal where documents contained “proprietary, business practice,  
 24 trade secret, and technical information that could injure the parties’ competitive posture”);  
 25 *Clark v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.*, No. 08-CV-158, 2010 WL 1006823, at \*1 (D. Nev. Mar. 16, 2010)  
 26 (granting motion to seal materials that would “bring attention to MetLife’s confidential internal  
 27 business deliberations, organization, and capabilities”).

1        This Court has previously granted motions to file under seal portions of documents  
 2 containing this type of confidential information regarding Rimini's internal business processes.  
 3 *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 226, 325, 518, 904, 990, 1107, 1228, 1250, 1261; *see also Rimini II*, No. 14-  
 4 CV-1699-LRH-DJA, ECF Nos. 627, 1240. Sealing references to Rimini's proprietary  
 5 information will not frustrate the public's visibility into the judicial process because Rimini  
 6 requests the targeted sealing of particularly sensitive information and leaves all other documents  
 7 unsealed.

8        **B. Information Designated Highly Confidential Pursuant to the Protective Order**

9        The Protective Order provides that:

10        Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as  
 11 "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys'  
 12 Eyes Only" under the terms of this Protective Order only if such counsel in good  
 13 faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is  
 14 subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The  
 15 designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as  
 16 "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys'  
 17 Eyes Only" shall constitute a representation that any attorney for the Designating  
 18 Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation.

19        Protective Order ¶ 2.

20        Rimini requests that the Court seal portions of the Confidential Materials that describe  
 21 or otherwise reveal information that Oracle has designated "Highly Confidential" under the  
 22 terms of the Protective Order. By designating the information "Highly Confidential," the  
 23 designating party has represented that the information cited is subject to protection under  
 24 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). Protective Order ¶ 2. This Court has regularly granted  
 25 motions to file under seal similar information, both in *Rimini I* and *Rimini II*. *See, e.g.*, ECF  
 26 Nos. 226, 325, 518, 904, 990, 1107, 1228, 1250, 1261; *see also Rimini II*, No. 14-CV-1699-  
 27 LRH-DJA (D. Nev.), ECF Nos. 280, 391, 460, 518, 541, and 549.

## II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Rimini respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file under seal the Confidential Materials.

Dated: July 31, 2020

## GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: /s/ Eric D. Vandevelde  
Eric D. Vandevelde

*Attorneys for Defendant  
Rimini Street, Inc.*